

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,246	12/20/1999	IAN M. WRIGHT	M-7825US	3396	
33031	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	L STEPHENSON ASO WOOD SPRINGS RD.	TRAN, PHUC H			
BLDG. 4, SU			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T	X 78759		2616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A P 0		4		
			Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>y</i> -		
	Offic	Action Summary	09/468,246	WRIGHT, IAN M.			
	Onic	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
.	The MAII	INC DATE of this communication on	PHUC H. TRAN	2616			
Peri d f	r Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sneet with	tne correspondence address -	-		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Anys	CHEVER IS nsions of time n SIX (6) MONTH period for reply re to reply withi reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICA 6(a). In no event, however, may a repl Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communica			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsiv	ve to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap	<u>ril 2006</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[application is in condition for allowan			sis		
	closed in a	accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Clai	ms					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	4a) Of the Claim(s) <u>1</u> Claim(s) <u>1</u> Claim(s) _ Claim(s) _ Claim(s) _ on Papers	-38 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdraw14 is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or cation is objected to by the Examiner ug(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	election requirement.	the Examiner			
		nay not request that any objection to the d					
		nt drawing sheet(s) including the correction	_	` '	1(d).		
11) 🔲	The oath o	r declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Reference of Draftspers nation Disclos No(s)/Mail D	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ate		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/468,246

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aimoto (U.S. Patent No. 6122252) in view of Miller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6247058 B1).
- With respect to claims 15, 23, & 31, Aimoto teaches a method of reducing packet traffic through a switching fabric (e.g. the method controlling the buffering as Fig. 1), the method comprising:

receiving packets from a network (block 132 in Fig. 1);

transmitting each packet to the switching fabric (e.g. cells go through block 105 in Fig.

1);

selectively queuing packets from the switching fabric (FIFO 107 in Fig. 1);

signaling to drop inbound packets destined for the queue (line 152 in Fig. 1);

and dropping inbound packets destined for the queue (block 136 in Fig. 1).

Aimoto fails to teach detecting imminent or active dropping of packets due to a queue being full. Miller from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the dropping packets when queue being full (col. 6, lines 15-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the dropping method

Application/Control Number: 09/468,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

of Miller into Aimoto at the FIFO buffer of Aimoto to reduce the traffic load and during the congested period.

- With respect to claims 16, 24 & 32, Aimoto also teaches wherein said signaling further comprises communicating a designation of the dropped outbound packets (e.g. line 152 in Fig. 2 signal to block 136 to discard packet).
- With respect to claims 17-18, 25-26 and 33-34, Aimoto discloses wherein dropping further comprises dropping inbound packets that are the same desiration as the dropped outbound packets (col. 4, lines 47-50).
- With respect to claims 19-20, 27-28 and 35-36, Aimoto teaches wherein the desiration comprises a class of service (col. 3, lines 50-60).
- With respect to claims 21, 29 and 37, Aimoto further teaches issuing a cease drop command to discontinue inbound packet drop (e.g. line 152 from 106 to cell discard judgment unit 136).
- With respect to claims 22, 30 and 38, Aimoto teaches discontinuing inbound packet dropping after a predetermined time (see col. 3, lines 58-62)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lakshman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5650993) discloses drop from front of buffer policy in feedback networks

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t July 20, 2006

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER